### OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH INSPECTORATE

# **MONTHLY REPORT FOR SEPTEMBER 2014**

# 1. <u>Visits</u>

The officers of the Occupational Safety and Health Inspectorate carried out inspections/ enquiries/accident investigations at workplaces as follows in respect of:

		Male employees	Female employees
Place of work:		cinpioyees	omployees
Inspections	176	6,362	3,615
Follow-up	75	2,465	2,025
Complaints	14	2,219	203
Enquiries	59	-	-
Employees' Lodging Accommodation:			
Inspections	44	327	659
Follow-up	28	880	9
Complaints	5	209	180
Enquiries	4	-	-
Construction:			
Inspections	64	1,541	6
Follow-up	42	1,031	-
Complaints	3	43	1
Enquiries	26	-	-
Specialist Support Services Unit:			
Inspections	10	764	538
Follow-up	5	85	45
Complaints	-	-	-
Enquiries	-	_	_
Accident investigation:			
New cases and continuation of existing cases	85		

#### 2. Registration of factories

- (i) No. of applications for renewal of Certificates of Registration received: 252
- (ii) No. of Certificates of Registration renewed: **251**
- (iii) No. of new applications for registration of factory received: 34
- (iv) No. of new Certificates of Registration issued: 11
- (v) No. of Certificates of Registration of factories not renewed: 11
- (vi) No. of factories registered as at <u>30 September 2014</u>: **3,824**

### 3. <u>Prohibition order</u>

(i) No. of prohibition orders issued: 4

#### 4. <u>Machinery</u>

(i) No. of steam boilers registered: 6

## 5. <u>Training on Occupational Safety and Health</u>

(i) No. of sessions at the OSHITC: 14

No. of participants: 266

(ii) No. of sessions on site: 13

No. of participants: 405

(iii) Total No. of participants: 671

#### 6. Safety and Health Officer

(i) No. of Certificates of Registration issued: 4

#### 7. Accidents

- (i) No. of notifiable non-fatal accidents: 14
- (ii) No. of non-notifiable accidents: 17

#### 8. Prosecution

- (i) No. of cases lodged in Court: 16
- (ii) Judgement was delivered in <u>19</u> cases:

1<sup>st</sup> Case: China Jiangsu (Mauritius) Construction Ltd

Count I: Failing to ensure safety and health of employees. Was sentenced to a fine of

Rs20,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

2nd Case: Advance Space Structures Ltd

Count I: Permitting employees to work in a place of work in respect of which a fire

certificate was required without such certificate being in force. Was sentenced

to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to carry out a fire drill once every year according to an established plan

approved by the Mauritius Fire and Rescue Service. Was sentenced to a fine of

Rs1,500/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

3<sup>rd</sup> Case: Mobilier International Ltd

Count I: Permitting employees to work in a place of work in respect of which a fire

certificate was required without such certificate being in force. Was sentenced

to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to make a risk assessment in respect of its undertaking. Was sentenced

to a fine of Rs1,500/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

4<sup>th</sup> Case: Thunnan Creations Ltd

Count I: Failing to securely fence dangerous part of machine. Was sentenced to a fine

of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to securely fence dangerous part of machine. Was sentenced to a fine

of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

5<sup>th</sup> Case: Therlite

Count I: Permitting employees to work in a place of work in respect of which a fire

certificate was required without such certificate being in force. Was sentenced

to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

6<sup>th</sup> Case: Jhoomuk Chundunsing

Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment in respect of its undertaking. Was sentenced

to a fine of Rs1,500/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that working platform of scaffold is provided with substantial

quardrails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/-.

Count III: Failing to keep register in respect of Regulation 10 of the Occupational Safety

and Health (Scaffold) Regulations 2011. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/-

and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

7<sup>th</sup> Case: Jhoomuk Chundunsing

Count I: Contravening a prohibition imposed by a prohibition order. Was sentenced to a

fine of Rs4,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

8<sup>th</sup> Case: A Mosafeer Building Contractors Ltd

Count I: Failing to cause open sides of stairway from which persons are liable to fall to

be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/- and cost of

Rs200/-.

9th Case: A Mosafeer Building Contractors Ltd

Count I: Failing to cause the scaffold to be effectively and securely braced to ensure

stability in all directions. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way as to

prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of

Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is of good construction. Was sentenced to a

fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

10th Case: A Mosafeer Building Contractors Ltd

Count I: Failing to cause convenient access to be provided to every scaffold platform.

Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to keep register in respect of Regulation 10 of the Occupational Safety

and Health (Scaffold) Regulations 2011. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that an intermediate guardrail is provided so that any gap

between the guard-rail and other existing protection does not exceed 600

millimetres. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the

three counts.

11th Case: Standard Builders Ltd (PO)

Count I: Contravening a prohibition imposed by a prohibition order. Was sentenced to a

fine of Rs5.000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

12<sup>th</sup> Case: Mauritius Institute of Training and Development

Count I: Failing to ensure safety and health of employees. Was sentenced to a fine of

Rs12.000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

13<sup>th</sup> Case: Texto Ltd

Count I: Failing to ensure safety and health of employees. Was sentenced to a fine of

Rs8,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

14<sup>th</sup> Case: Standard Builders Ltd

Count I: Failing to cause open sides of scaffold to be fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of

Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to cause ladder to extend beyond platform. Was sentenced to a fine of

Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to cause ladder to be secured. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-

and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

15th Case: Mooken Building & Civil Engineering Ltd

Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/- and

cost of Rs200/-.

16th Case: Make Way Construction Ltd

Count I: Failing to cause open sides of building to be fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of

Rs1,500/- and cost of Rs200/-.

17th Case: Make Way Construction Ltd

Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/- and

cost of Rs200/-.

18th Case: Pyamootoo & Fils Ltd

Count I: Locating lodging accommodation in same building as factory. Was sentenced

to a fine of Rs1,500/- and cost of Rs200/-.

19th Case: Red Lobster

Count I: Using lodging accommodation without Lodging Accommodation Permit. Was

sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/- and cost of Rs200/-.