OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH INSPECTORATE

MONTHLY REPORT FOR JULY 2014

1. <u>Visits</u>

The officers of the Occupational Safety and Health Inspectorate carried out inspections/ enquiries/accident investigations at workplaces as follows in respect of:

		Male employees	Female employees
Place of work:		omprojece	omployeee
Inspections	165	6,369	3,505
Follow-up	45	1,576	579
Complaints	8	576	217
Enquiries	84	-	-
Employees' Lodging Accommodation:			
Inspections	24	417	367
Follow-up	26	361	27
Complaints	2	60	-
Enquiries	1	-	-
Construction:			
Inspections	54	1,371	5
Follow-up	39	711	4
Complaints	4	87	-
Enquiries	15	-	-
Specialist Support Services Unit:			
Inspections	31	1,559	254
Follow-up	-	-	-
Complaints	-	-	-
Enquiries	-	-	-
Accident investigation:		120	
New cases and continuation of existing cases			

2. <u>Registration of factories</u>

- (i) No. of applications for renewal of Certificates of Registration received: **319**
- (ii) No. of Certificates of Registration renewed: **304**
- (iii) No. of new applications for registration of factory received: 25
- (iv) No. of new Certificates of Registration issued: 16
- (v) No. of Certificates of Registration of factories not renewed: 25
- (vi) No. of factories registered as at <u>31 July 2014</u>: **3,825**

3. <u>Prohibition order</u>

(i) No. of prohibition orders issued: 5

4. <u>Machinery</u>

(i) No. of steam boilers registered: 5

5. <u>Training by the Occupational Safety and Health Information and Training Centre</u> (OSHITC) and the Specialist Support Services Unit

(i) No. of sessions at the OSHITC: 12

No. of participants: 233

(ii) No. of sessions on site: **11**

No. of participants: 226

(iii) Total No. of participants: **459**

6. <u>Safety and Health Officer</u>

(i) No. of Certificates of Registration issued: 16

7. <u>Accidents</u>

- (i) No. of notifiable fatal accidents: 3
- (i) No. of notifiable non-fatal accidents: **17**
- (ii) No. of non-notifiable accidents: **10**

8. <u>Prosecution</u>

- (i) No. of cases lodged in Court: 22
- (ii) Judgement was delivered in <u>28</u> cases:

1st Case: Nanjing Dadi Construction (Group) Co Ltd

- Count I: Failing to cause every plank which forms part of a scaffold to rest on at least 3 supports. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way to prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure that the openings in scaffold from which persons are liable to fall be adequately boarded over. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

2nd Case: Nanjing Dadi Construction (Group) Co Ltd

- Count I: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way to prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that every working platform of a scaffold is arranged in such a way that the distance between the platform and the structure does not exceed 70 millimetres. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to cause convenient access to be provided to every scaffold platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.
- 3rd Case: Durhome Construction Co Ltd
- Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to cause every plank which forms part of a scaffold to rest on at least 3 supports. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way to prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.
- 4th Case: L & LP Construction Ltd
- Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to cause open side of building to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

5th Case: Max Dorcy

Count I: Failing to cause open sides of building to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

6th Case: Baticorp Contracting Ltd

Count I: Failing to cause a lifting machine to be examined by a Registered Machinery Inspector. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/- and cost of Rs200/-.

7th Case: Total Building Construction Co Ltd

- Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to cause open sides of building to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.
- 8th Case: Editions de L'Ocean Indien
- Count I: Failing to review a risk assessment not later than 2 years. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to carry out a fire drill once every year according to an established plan approved by the Fire Services. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

9th Case: Laval Riche Joseph

- Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment in respect of its undertaking. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to cause open sides on roof of building from which persons are liable to fall to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to cause open sides of staircase from which persons are liable to fall to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

10th Case: Ideco Center Ltd

- Count I: Failing to establish Safety and Health Committee. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to carry out a fire drill at least once every year. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

11th Case: Marbobois Ltee

- Count I: Failing to cause the scaffold to be effectively and securely braced to ensure stability in all directions. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to cause every plank which forms part of a scaffold to rest on at least 3 supports. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to cause every platform of a scaffold to be provided with substantial guardrails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

12th Case: Marbobois Ltee

- Count I: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way to prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is of good construction. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to cause convenient access to be provided to every scaffold platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

13th Case: Arun Fabricators

- Count I: Failing to ensure that the first aid box is in conformity with the requirements under regulation 4 (c) of the Occupational Safety, Health and Welfare (First-Aid) Regulations 1989. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.
- 14th Case: Standard Builders Ltd
- Count I: Failing to cause open sides of floor from which persons are liable to fall to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.
- 15th Case: High Tech Construction Co Ltd
- Count I: Failing to cause open sides of the lift shaft from which persons are liable to fall to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.
- 16th Case: Marcel Antonio Victor
- Count I: Failing to provide appropriate fall protection system to prevent fall. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

17th Case: Eastern Property & Investment Ltd

Count I: Failing to ensure that any person not in its employment is not exposed to risk to his safety and health. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs10,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

18th Case: Phoenix Beverages Ltd

Count I: Failing to ensure safety and health of employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs8,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

19th Case: Al-Huda Wan Noor Trust Fund

Count I: Failing to cause open sides of building to be fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

20th Case: Municipal Council of Vacoas Phoenix

- Count I: Failing to notify accident. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to send report of accident. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

21st Case: Hi Metal Services Ltd

- Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.
- 22nd Case: E.R.C.
- Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

23rd Case: Seri Plus Ltd

Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

24th Case: T Printers Ltd

- Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to notify Government Fire Services of increase in the number of employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to provide a messroom. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

25th Case: Innowear Ltd

Count I: Failing to appoint first aider. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

26th Case: Laguna Clothing (Mtius) Ltd

Count I: Failing to hold a Lodging Accommodation Permit. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

27th Case: Barus Construction

Count I: Failing to cause open sides of building to be fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

28th Case: Maik Construction Ltd

Count I: Failing to ensure that platform is boarded to prevent tools from falling. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.