# OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH INSPECTORATE

# MONTHLY REPORT FOR JULY 2013

# 1. <u>Visits</u>

The officers of the Occupational Safety and Health Inspectorate carried out inspections/ enquires/accident investigations at workplaces as follows in respect of:

		Male employees	Female employees
Places of work:		cilipioyees	employees
Inspections	150	6,726	3,776
Follow-up	33	1,527	790
Complaints	13	514	198
Enquiries	88	-	-
Employees' Lodging Accommodations:			
Inspections	39	473	4
Follow-up	36	377	2
Complaints	2	45	60
Enquires	6	-	-
Specialist Support Services Unit:			
Inspections	44	5,419	2,403
Complaints	-	-	-
Accident investigations:			
New cases and continuation of existing cases	79		

# 2. <u>Registration of factories</u>

- (i) No. of applications for renewal of Certificate of Registration received: **216**
- (ii) No. of Certificate of Registration renewed: 332
- (iii) No. of new application for registration of factory received: 22
- (iv) No. of new Certificate of Registration issued: 14
- (v) No. of Certificates of Registration of factories not renewed: 23
- (vi) No. of factories registered as at <u>31 July 2013</u>: **3,920**

# 3. <u>Machinery</u>

(i) No. of steam boilers registered: **3** 

# 4. <u>Training by the Occupational Safety and Health Information and Training Centre</u> (OSHITC)

(i) No. of sessions at the OSHITC: 20

No. of participants: 656

(ii) No. of sessions on site: **17** 

No. of participants: 380

(iii) Total No. of participants: 1,036

# 5. *Safety and Health Officer*

(i) No. of certificates of registration issued: 5

# 6. <u>Accidents</u>

- (i) No. of notifiable fatal accidents: 1
- (ii) No. of notifiable non-fatal accidents: 21
- (iii) No. of non-notifiable accidents: 4
- (iv) No. of dangerous occurrences: Nil

# 7. <u>Prosecution</u>

(i) No. of cases lodged in Court: 7

(iii) Judgement was delivered in 14 cases:

## 1<sup>st</sup> Case: Apollo Tubes Ltd

- Count I: Permitting employees to work in a place of work in respect of which a fire certificate was required without such certificate being in force. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs10,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to carry out a fire drill at least once a year at its place of work according to an established fire and emergency plan approved by the Fire Services. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Case: Phil Alain Didier Co Ltd

- Count I: Failing to cause platform to be so boarded as to prevent tools and materials from failing through. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to cause openings from which persons were liable to fall to be adequately boarded. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

### 3<sup>rd</sup> Case: Mauritius Stationery Manufacturers Ltd

Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill at least once a year at its place of work according to an established fire and emergency plan approved by the Fire Services. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

### 4<sup>th</sup> Case: Marbobois Ltee

Count I: Failing to renew certificate of registration of factory. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

### 5<sup>th</sup> Case: Marbobois Ltee

Count I: Failing to attend a summon. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs20,000/- and cost of Rs300/-.

### 6<sup>th</sup> Case: Sugar Industry Pension Fund Board

Count I: Permitting employees to work in a place of work in respect of which a fire certificate was required without such certificate being in force. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

### 7<sup>th</sup> Case: PADCO

Count I: Failing to ensure platform is not securely fastened to prevent its displacement. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/-.

- Count II: Failing to cause platform to be so boarded as to prevent tools and materials from failing through. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure that working platform of scaffold is provided with substantial guardrails. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

#### 8<sup>th</sup> Case: PADCO

- Count I: Failing to keep register regarding inspection of scaffold. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to provide convenient and safe means of access to scaffold platform. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs5,000/- cost of Rs200/- for both counts.
- 9<sup>th</sup> Case: Rey Lenferna Ltd
- Count I: Failing to ensure safety and health of its employees. Case was dismissed.
- 10<sup>th</sup> Case: University of Technology, Mauritius
- Count I: Failing to carry out a risk assessment. Case was dismissed.
- 11<sup>th</sup> Case: Central Water Authority
- Count I: Failing to ensure safety and health of its employees. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs10,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.
- 12<sup>th</sup> Case: S. Munniah & Bros
- Count I: Working without Job Contractor's Permit. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs100/-.
- Count II: Failing to notify accident. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to send report of accident. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs2,000/and cost of Rs200/- for count II and III.
- 13<sup>th</sup> Case: Vanneron Inc. Ltd
- Count I: Failing to notify accident. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to send report of accident. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs2,000/and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.
- 14<sup>th</sup> Case: Cogir Ltd
- Count I: Failing to provide personal protective equipment. Was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs3,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.