

MONTHLY REPORT OF THE NATIONAL OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH
DEPARTMENT FOR MARCH 2026

1.	Enforcement Section (North) and Public Service	Number
	Visits	30
	Complaint investigations	1
	Enquiries	-
	Total	31
2.	Enforcement Section (South) and Port Louis (North)	
	Visits	41
	Complaint investigations	5
	Enquiries	-
	Total	46
3.	Employees' Lodging Accommodation Section	
	Visits	105
	Complaint investigations	7
	Enquiries	1
	Total	113
4.	Construction Section	
	Visits	74
	Complaint investigations	4
	Enquiries	36
	Total	114

5.	Accident and Prosecution Section			
(a)	Accident Unit			
	Notifiable fatal accidents	-		
	Notifiable non-fatal accidents	22		
	Non-notifiable accidents	9		
	Total	31		
	Dangerous occurrences	-		
	Occupational diseases	-		
	Field visits in relation to accident	47		
(b)	Prosecution Unit		Number	
			Cases	Counts
	Cases lodged in Court		11	19
	Cases taken proforma		19	28
	Cases taken for trial/hearing		9	12
	Cases in which judgement delivered (details at annex)		9	15
	Total No. of Cases		48	74
	Information drafted Cases		11	19
	Case file prepared for trial/hearing		22	31
	Total No. of Cases		33	50
6.	Occupational Safety and Health Training and Information Centre (OSHTIC)			
	Training sessions	Number	Number of participants	
			M	F
	At the OSHTIC	4	44	32
	On site	-	-	-
	Training of Ministry's staff	-	-	-
	Total	4	44	32

7.	Registry Section	Number
	Applications for renewal of certificate of registration of factory received	31
	New applications for registration of factory received	5
	No. of closed files	-
	Steam boilers registered	-
	New application for Lodging Accommodation Permit received	57
	Application for renewal of Lodging Accommodation Permit received	46
	Number of Lodging Accommodation Permit files closed	-
	As at March 2026	
	Active factory files	3,987
	Lodging Accommodations with valid Lodging Accommodation Permit	3,143
	General Places of Work files (GPW)	2,043
	Buildings files (BDG)	499
	Republic of Mauritius files (RM)	389
	Agriculture files (AGR)	58
	Construction files (CONST)	1,216
	Registered Safety and Health Officers	585
	Scaffold Contractors with valid Scaffold Contractor's Permit	70

Cases in which judgement delivered

1st Case: Unionallied Construction Ltd

Date case filed: 31 July 2025

Count I: Having caused a scaffold to be erected without holding a Scaffold Contractor's Permit. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

2nd Case: Kuros Construction Solution Ltd

Date case filed: 27 August 2025

Count I: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is effectively braced by means of longitudinal and transverse bracing systems which extends from the base to the top of the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that the work platform does not have any opening except to allow access to that work platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that every side of a work platform from which a person is liable to fall more than 2 meters is provided with toe-boards and 2 or more guard-rails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

3rd Case: Steel Plus Ltd

Date case filed: 05 May 2024

Count I: Failing to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, the safety, health and welfare at work of its employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs20,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

4th Case: Alteo Agri Ltd

Date case filed: 22 December 2021

Count I: Failing to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, the safety, health and welfare at work of its employees. Count dismissed.

Count II: Failing to notify an accident by the quickest practicable means. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs800/- and cost of Rs200/-.

5th Case: Tayelamay & Sons Co Ltd

Date case filed: 25 September 2025

Count I: Failing to ensure that platform does not have opening. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to provide toe-boards and 2 or more guardrails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

6th Case: Tayelamay & Sons Co Ltd

Date case filed: 25 September 2025

Count I: Failing to provide ladders or stairs to enable person to gain access from one level of scaffold to next. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is effectively braced by means of longitudinal and transverse bracing systems which extend from the base to the top of the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that any work platform is closely boarded. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

7th Case: Tayelamay & Sons Co Ltd

Date case filed: 21 October 2024

Count I: Causing a scaffold, except an excluded scaffold, to be erected without holding a permit or enlisting the services of a scaffold contractor. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

8th Case: Total Energies Marketing Mauritius Ltd

Date case filed: 21 October 2024

Count I: Failing so far as is reasonably practicable, in particular, ensure that any person not in his employment is not exposed to any risk to his safety or health. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs3,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

9th Case: Rey and Lenferna Limited

Date case filed: 30 May 2017

Count I: Failing to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, the safety, health and welfare at work of its employees. Case dismissed.