# MONTHLY REPORT OF THE NATIONAL OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT FOR MARCH 2025

1.	Enforcement Section (North) and Public Service	Number					
	Visits	90					
	Complaint investigations	7					
	Enquiries	-					
	Total	97					
2.	Enforcement Section (South)						
	Visits	40					
	Complaint investigations	5					
	Enquiries	1					
	Total	46					
3.	Employees' Lodging Accommodation Section						
	Visits	136					
	Complaint investigations	6					
	Enquiries	-					
	Total	142					
4.	Specialist Support Services Unit						
	Visits	15					
	Complaint investigations	-					
	Investigations into accidents and dangerous occurrences	2					
	Total	17					
5.	Construction Section						
	Visits	88					
	Complaint investigations	1					
	Enquiries	55					
	Total	144					

6.	Accident and Prosecu	tion Sectior	ı				
(a)	Accident Unit						
	Notifiable fatal accident	1					
	Notifiable non-fatal acci		25				
	Non-notifiable accidents	3			7		
	Total	33					
	Dangerous occurrences		1				
	Occupational diseases					-	
	Field visits in relation to accident					52	
(b)	Dressestion Unit					Number	
	Prosecution Unit			Cases	Counts		
	Cases lodged in Court					36	
	Cases taken proforma	50	88				
	Cases taken for trial	25	44				
	Cases in which judgeme	22	44				
	Total No. of Cases	120	212				
	Information drafted Cases					36	
	Case file prepared for trial/hearing				40	65	
	Total No. of Cases				63	101	
7.	Occupational Safety and Health Training and Information Centre (OSHTIC)						
	Training accelence	mber of p	participants				
	Training sessions N	Number	М	F	Total		
	At the OSHTIC	-	-	-		-	
	On site	2	14	6	2	20	
	Total	2	14	6	2	20	

8.	Registry Section	Number			
	Applications for renewal of certificate of registration of factory received	200			
	New applications for registration of factory received	8			
	No. of closed files	-			
	Steam boilers registered	-			
	New application for Lodging Accommodation Permit received	51			
	Application for renewal of Lodging Accommodation Permit received	33			
	Number of Lodging Accommodation Permit files closed	-			
	As at March 2025				
	Factories with valid certificate of registration	3,893			
	Lodging Accommodations with valid Lodging Accommodation Permit	3,022			
	General Places of Work files (GPW)	1,968			
	Buildings files (BDG)	460			
	Republic of Mauritius files (RM)	347			
	Agriculture files (AGR)	41			
	Construction files (CONST)	2,547			
	Registered Safety and Health Officers	584			
	Scaffold Contractors with valid Scaffold Contractor's Permit	60			

#### Annex

### 1<sup>st</sup> Case: Super Construction Co Ltd

Date case filed: 10 July 2024

Count I: Failing to employ a Safety and Health Officer. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs500/- and cost of Rs200/-.

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Case: Super Construction Co Ltd

Date case filed: 11 December 2023

- Count I: Failing to ensure that every side of a work platform from which a person is liable to fall more than 2 metres is provided with toe-boards and 2 or more guardrails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to cause every open side of floors from which persons are liable to fall a distance of more than 2 metres, to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

#### 3<sup>rd</sup> Case: Super Construction Co Ltd

Date case filed: 11 December 2023

- Count I: Failing to ensure that the stairs or ladders are provided to enable person to gain access from one level of the scaffold to another level. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is effectively braced by means of longitudinal and transverse bracing systems which extend from the base to the top of the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure that there is no opening in the work platform of the metal scaffold, except to allow access to that work platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

#### 4<sup>th</sup> Case: DLB Construction & Co Ltd

Date case filed: 10 February 2025

- Count I: Causing a scaffold, except an excluded scaffold, to be erected without holding a permit or enlisting the services of a scaffold contractor. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that the stairs or ladders are provided to enable person to gain access from one level of the scaffold to another level. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure that work platforms are provided at any place of work which does not afford a proper and secure foothold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

## 5<sup>th</sup> Case: DLB Construction & Co Ltd

Date case filed: 10 February 2025

Count I: Failing to cause every open side of floors from which persons are liable to fall a distance of more than 2 metres, to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

## 6<sup>th</sup> Case: DLB Construction & Co Ltd

Date case filed: 10 February 2025

- Count I: Failing to ensure that there is no opening in the work platform of the metal scaffold, except to allow access to that work platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that every side of a work platform from which a person is liable to fall more than 2 metres is provided with toe-boards and 2 or more guardrails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

## 7<sup>th</sup> Case: DLB Construction & Co Ltd

Date case filed: **10 February 2025** 

- Count I: Failing to ensure that the stairs or ladders are provided to enable person to gain access from one level of the scaffold to another level. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is effectively braced by means of longitudinal and transverse bracing systems which extend from the base to the top of the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.
- 8<sup>th</sup> Case: AKGM Contracting Ltd

Date case filed: 10 February 2025

- Count I: Causing a scaffold, except an excluded scaffold, to be erected without holding a permit or enlisting the services of a scaffold contractor. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that a metal scaffold exceeding 15 meters in height is constructed, erected or installed in accordance with the design and drawings of a Registered Professional Engineer. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

## 9<sup>th</sup> Case: Goldox Construction Ltd

Date case filed: 10 February 2025

Count I: Failing to ensure that the foot of the standards of the modular scaffold is secured to a base plate so that it does not rest directly on the ground or supporting surface. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

- Count II: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is effectively braced by means of longitudinal and transverse bracing systems which extend from the base to the top of the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs3,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure that there is no opening in the work platform of the metal scaffold, except to allow access to that work platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

### 10<sup>th</sup> Case: Bromley Park Ltd

Date case filed: 10 February 2025

Count I: Failing to cause every open side of floors from which persons are liable to fall a distance of more than 2 metres, to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

### 11<sup>th</sup> Case: Bourbon Offshore Greenmar Ltd

Date case filed: 10 December 2024

- Count I: Failing to forward a written record of proceedings of Safety and Health Committee within 10 days. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs500/-.
- Count II: Failing to forward a written record of proceedings of Safety and Health Committee within 10 days. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs500/-.
- Count III: Failing to forward a written record of proceedings of Safety and Health Committee within 10 days. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs500/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Case: Bourbon Offshore Greenmar Ltd

Date case filed: **10 December 2024** 

- Count I: Failing to forward a written record of proceedings of Safety and Health Committee within 10 days. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs500/-.
- Count II: Failing to forward a written record of proceedings of Safety and Health Committee within 10 days. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs500/-.
- Count III: Failing to forward a written record of proceedings of Safety and Health Committee within 10 days. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs500/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

#### 13<sup>th</sup> Case: Eagle Insurance Limited

Date case filed: 10 December 2024

Count I: Failing to forward a written record of proceedings of Safety and Health Committee within 10 days. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs500/- and cost of Rs200/-.

## 14<sup>th</sup> Case: Tianli Construction Company Ltd

Date case filed: 10 February 2025

- Count I: Causing a scaffold, except an excluded scaffold, to be erected without holding a permit or enlisting the services of a scaffold contractor. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to keep a register at a workplace where there is a scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure that every scaffold has at least one designate access point from which a person may gain access onto the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

## 15<sup>th</sup> Case: Tianli Construction Company Ltd

Date case filed: 10 February 2025

- Count I: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is effectively braced by means of longitudinal and transverse bracing systems which extend from the base to the top of the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that work platforms are provided at any place of work which does not afford a proper and secure foothold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure that no scaffold is put into use unless it has been inspected by a scaffold supervisor upon completion of its construction. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.
- 16<sup>th</sup> Case: Pybig Construction Company Limited

Date case filed: 15 November 2024

- Count I: Failing to hold a Scaffold Contractor's Permit. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure that metal scaffold erected on cantilever support is constructed in accordance with design and drawing of Registered Professional Engineer. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

## 17<sup>th</sup> Case: Clinic Des Moteurs Automotive Workshop Ltd

Date case filed: 15 November 2024

Count I: Failing to apply for a Factory Building Permit. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

## 18<sup>th</sup> Case: Anahita Hotel Limited

Date case filed: 22 December 2021

Count I: Failing, so far as is reasonably practicable, to ensure the safety, health and welfare at work of its employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs15,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

## 19<sup>th</sup> Case: Grand Port District Council

Date case filed: 12 December 2021

- Count I: Failing, so far as is reasonably practicable, to ensure the safety, health and welfare at work of its employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs10,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to notify an accident by the quickest practicable means. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to send a report of an accident within seven days. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

## 20<sup>th</sup> Case: China State Construction Engineering Corporation Limited

Date case filed: 19 July 2024

- Count I: Failing, so far as is reasonably practicable, to ensure the safety, health and welfare at work of its employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs20,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.
- 21<sup>st</sup> Case: Amro Chemicals Ltd

Date case filed: 19 July 2024

- Count I: Failing, so far as is reasonably practicable, to ensure the safety, health and welfare at work of its employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs10,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.
- 22<sup>nd</sup> Case: Servansingh Jadav and Partners Consulting Engineers Limited

Date case filed: 28 February 2022

Count I: Failing to ensure that article is designed and constructed as to be safe and without risk to health. Not guilty.