

**MONTHLY REPORT OF THE NATIONAL OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH  
DEPARTMENT FOR FEBRUARY 2026**

1.	<b>Enforcement Section (North) and Public Service</b>	<b>Number</b>
	Visits	32
	Complaint investigations	5
	Enquiries	-
	<b>Total</b>	<b>37</b>
2.	<b>Enforcement Section (South) and Maritime Safety Unit</b>	
	Visits	60
	Complaint investigations	4
	Enquiries	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>66</b>
3.	<b>Employees' Lodging Accommodation Section</b>	
	Visits	92
	Complaint investigations	5
	Enquiries	-
	<b>Total</b>	<b>97</b>
4.	<b>Construction Section</b>	
	Visits	65
	Complaint investigations	3
	Enquiries	35
	<b>Total</b>	<b>103</b>

5.	<b>Accident and Prosecution Section</b>				
(a)	<b>Accident Unit</b>				
	Notifiable fatal accidents	3			
	Notifiable non-fatal accidents	17			
	Non-notifiable accidents	11			
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>			
	Dangerous occurrences	-			
	Occupational diseases	-			
	Field visits in relation to accident	40			
(b)	<b>Prosecution Unit</b>		<b>Number</b>		
			<b>Cases</b>	<b>Counts</b>	
	Cases lodged in Court		3	3	
	Cases taken proforma		47	79	
	Cases taken for trial/hearing		24	53	
	Cases in which judgement delivered (details at annex)		27	56	
	<b>Total No. of Cases</b>		<b>101</b>	<b>191</b>	
	Information drafted Cases		3	3	
	Case file prepared for trial/hearing		25	59	
	<b>Total No. of Cases</b>		<b>28</b>	<b>62</b>	
6.	<b>Occupational Safety and Health Training and Information Centre (OSHTIC)</b>				
	<b>Training sessions</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Number of participants</b>		
			<b>M</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>Total</b>
	At the OSHTIC	-	-	-	-
	On site	1	26	5	<b>31</b>
	Training of Ministry's staff	-	-	-	-
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>31</b>

7.	Registry Section	Number
	Applications for renewal of certificate of registration of factory received	41
	New applications for registration of factory received	6
	No. of closed files	6
	Steam boilers registered	3
	New application for Lodging Accommodation Permit received	49
	Application for renewal of Lodging Accommodation Permit received	16
	Number of Lodging Accommodation Permit files closed	-
<b>As at February 2026</b>		
	Active factory files	3,982
	Lodging Accommodations with valid Lodging Accommodation Permit	3,143
	General Places of Work files (GPW)	2,038
	Buildings files (BDG)	497
	Republic of Mauritius files (RM)	389
	Agriculture files (AGR)	58
	Construction files (CONST)	1,227
	Registered Safety and Health Officers	586
	Scaffold Contractors with valid Scaffold Contractor's Permit	70

**Cases in which judgement delivered****1<sup>st</sup> Case: Mauritius Meat Authority**

Date case filed: 24 April 2025

- Count I: Failing to provide suitable means to cut off the supply of electrical energy to any electrical equipment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to provide suitable means to cut off the supply of electrical energy to any electrical equipment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to provide suitable means to cut off the supply of electrical energy to any electrical equipment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**2<sup>nd</sup> Case: Mauritius Meat Authority**

Date case filed: 24 April 2025

- Count I: Failing to provide suitable means to cut off the supply of electrical energy to any electrical equipment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to provide suitable means to cut off the supply of electrical energy to any electrical equipment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure the safety of his employees from risk of electric shock. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**3<sup>rd</sup> Case: Mauritius Meat Authority**

Date case filed: 24 April 2025

- Count I: Failing to ensure the safety of his employees from risk of electric shock. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to ensure the safety of his employees from risk of electric shock. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to ensure the safety of his employees from risk of electric shock. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**4<sup>th</sup> Case: Mauritius Meat Authority**

Date case filed: 24 April 2025

- Count I: Failing to provide earthing. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count II: Failing to provide suitable means to cut off the supply of electrical energy to any electrical equipment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.
- Count III: Failing to provide suitable means to cut off the supply of electrical energy to any electrical equipment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**5<sup>th</sup> Case: Mauritius Meat Authority**

Date case filed: 24 April 2025

Count I: Failing to construct, install and protect a system in a manner to prevent danger at all times. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that all residual current devices are tested. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count III: Failing to provide suitable means to isolate any electrical equipment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**6<sup>th</sup> Case: Mauritius Meat Authority**

Date case filed: 24 April 2025

Count I: Failing to provide earthing. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to provide earthing. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count III: Failing to provide earthing. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**7<sup>th</sup> Case: Mauritius Meat Authority**

Date case filed: 24 April 2025

Count I: Failing to ensure the safety of his employees from risk of electric shock. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure the safety of his employees from risk of electric shock. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count III: Failing to construct, install and protect a system in a manner to prevent danger at all times. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**8<sup>th</sup> Case: BGH Limitee**

Date case filed: **17 December 2025**

Count I: Failing to hold a safety and health committee meeting at least once every two months. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

**9<sup>th</sup> Case: Mauritius Institute of Training and Development (Lycee Polytechnique Sir Guy Forget)**

Date case filed: **27 November 2025**

Count I: Failing to hold a safety and health committee meeting at least once every two months. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

**10<sup>th</sup> Case: M. Savers Ltd**

Date case filed: **27 November 2025**

Count I: Failing to, within 30 days of start of operation of his undertaking, make a suitable and sufficient assessment of any risk to the safety and health to which any employee is exposed whilst he is at work. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-

**11<sup>th</sup> Case: Municipal Council of Quatre Bornes**

Date case filed: **27 September 2023**

Count I: Failing to cause a lifting machine to be examined by a Registered Machinery Inspector every 12 months. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs800/- and cost of Rs200/-

**12<sup>th</sup> Case: Solocal Interactive Ltd**

Date case filed: 25 September 2025

Count I: Failing to establish a Safety and Health Committee. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to employ a Safety and Health Officer. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count III: Failing to appoint a first-aider. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**13<sup>th</sup> Case: CMTI Distribution Ltd**

Date case filed: **21 April 2023**

Count I: Failing to carry out a suitable and sufficient risk assessment. Case dismissed.

**14<sup>th</sup> Case: J. Dookhun & Sons Ltd**

Date case filed: **19 January 2026**

Count I: Failing to ensure that scaffold is effectively braced by means of longitudinal and transverse racing systems which extends from the base to the top of the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-

**15<sup>th</sup> Case: J. Dookhun & Sons Ltd**

Date case filed: **19 January 2026**

Count I: Causing a scaffold, except an excluded scaffold, to be constructed, erected, installed, re-positioned, altered, maintained, repaired or dismantled without holding a Scaffold Contractor's Permit or enlisting the services of a scaffold contractor. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that the foot of a standard of any modular scaffold in a workplace is secured to a base plat so that it does not rest directly on the ground or supporting surface. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count III: Failing to provide stairs or ladders to enable person to gain access from one level of a scaffold to another level. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**16<sup>th</sup> Case: Samlo Koyenco Steel Co. Ltd**

Date case filed: **27 July 2012**

Count I: Failing to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable the safety, health and welfare at work of its employees. Case dismissed.

**17<sup>th</sup> Case: Seven Seven Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **03 June 2025**

Count I: Failing to conspicuously mark on the goods lift number 1 the maximum working load which it can safely carry. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to cause the gate of the goods lift number 1 to be fitted with an efficient interlocking device so as to ensure that the gate cannot be opened except when the cage is at the landing and that the cage cannot be moved away from the landing until the gate is closed. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to comply with the essential safety requirements and any relevant standards acceptable to the Mauritius Standards Bureau. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**18<sup>th</sup> Case: Seven Seven Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **03 June 2025**

Count I: Failing to conspicuously mark on the goods lift number 2 the maximum working load which it can safely carry. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to cause the gate of the goods lift number 2 to be fitted with an efficient interlocking device so as to ensure that the gate cannot be opened except when the cage is at the landing and that the cage cannot be moved away from the landing until the gate is closed. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to comply with the essential safety requirements and any relevant standards acceptable to the Mauritius Standards Bureau. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**19<sup>th</sup> Case: Seven Seven Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **03 June 2025**

Count I: Failing to comply with the essential safety requirements and any relevant standards acceptable to the Mauritius Standards Bureau. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to comply with the essential safety requirements and any relevant standards acceptable to the Mauritius Standards Bureau. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

**20<sup>th</sup> Case: Beijing Construction Engineering Group Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **11 December 2025**

Count I: Failing to ensure that any metal scaffold exceeding 15 metres in height is constructed with the design and drawings of a Registered Professional Engineer. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

**21<sup>st</sup> Case: Beijing Construction Engineering Group Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **11 December 2025**

Count I: Failing to ensure that stairs or ladders are provided to enable persons to gain access from one level of a scaffold to another level. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that any work platform does not have any opening except to allow access to that work platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that every side of a work platform from which a person is liable to fall more than 2 metres is provided with toe boards and 2 or more guardrails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs3,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**22<sup>nd</sup> Case: Wenseng International Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **11 December 2025**

Count I: Failing to ensure that stairs or ladders are provided on scaffold to gain access to a level from another level. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that scaffold is effectively braced by means of longitudinal and transverse bracing systems which extend from the base to the top of the scaffold. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that work platform does not have any opening except to allow access to that work platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**23<sup>rd</sup> Case: Sika (Mauritius) Ltd**

Date case filed: **28 July 2022**

Count I: Failing to ensure the safety and health of its employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs30,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

**24<sup>th</sup> Case: Metal Packaging Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **29 July 2021**

Count I: Failing to ensure the safety and health of its employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs15,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

**25<sup>th</sup> Case: Beijing Construction Engineering Group Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **11 December 2025**

Count I: Failing to ensure that stairs or ladders are provided to enable persons to gain access from one level of a scaffold to another level. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that any work platform does not have any opening except to allow access to that work platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs5,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that every side of a work platform from which a person is liable to fall more than 2 metres is provided with toe boards and 2 or more guardrails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs6,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

**26<sup>th</sup> Case: Beijing Construction Engineering Group Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **11 December 2025**

Count I: Using a building as a lodging accommodation for its employees without holding a Lodging Accommodation Permit. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs10,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

**27<sup>th</sup> Case: Beijing Construction Engineering Group Co Ltd**

Date case filed: **11 December 2025**

Count I: Failing to ensure that no toe-boards and 2 or more guardrails are provided to the work platform from which a person is liable to fall more than 2 metres. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs3,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.