1. **Visits**

The officers of the Occupational Safety and Health Inspectorate carried out inspections/enquiries/accident investigations at workplaces as follows in respect of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of work:</th>
<th>Male employees</th>
<th>Female employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>6,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enquiries</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employees’ Lodging Accommodation:</th>
<th>Male employees</th>
<th>Female employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enquiries</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction:</th>
<th>Male employees</th>
<th>Female employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enquiries</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialist Support Services Unit:</th>
<th>Male employees</th>
<th>Female employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enquiries</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accident investigation:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New cases and continuation of existing cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. **Registration of factories**

   (i) No. of applications for renewal of Certificates of Registration received: \textbf{319}

   (ii) No. of Certificates of Registration renewed: \textbf{304}

   (iii) No. of new applications for registration of factory received: \textbf{25}

   (iv) No. of new Certificates of Registration issued: \textbf{16}

   (v) No. of Certificates of Registration of factories not renewed: \textbf{25}

   (vi) No. of factories registered as at \textbf{31 July 2014}: \textbf{3,825}

3. **Prohibition order**

   (i) No. of prohibition orders issued: \textbf{5}

4. **Machinery**

   (i) No. of steam boilers registered: \textbf{5}

5. **Training by the Occupational Safety and Health Information and Training Centre (OSHITC) and the Specialist Support Services Unit**

   (i) No. of sessions at the OSHITC: \textbf{12}
   
   No. of participants: \textbf{233}

   (ii) No. of sessions on site: \textbf{11}
   
   No. of participants: \textbf{226}

   (iii) Total No. of participants: \textbf{459}

6. **Safety and Health Officer**

   (i) No. of Certificates of Registration issued: \textbf{16}

7. **Accidents**

   (i) No. of notifiable fatal accidents: \textbf{3}

   (i) No. of notifiable non-fatal accidents: \textbf{17}

   (ii) No. of non-notifiable accidents: \textbf{10}
8. **Prosecution**

(i) No. of cases lodged in Court: **22**

(ii) Judgement was delivered in **28** cases:

1\(^{st}\) Case: **Nanjing Dadi Construction (Group) Co Ltd**

Count I: Failing to cause every plank which forms part of a scaffold to rest on at least 3 supports. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way to prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that the openings in scaffold from which persons are liable to fall be adequately boarded over. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

2\(^{nd}\) Case: **Nanjing Dadi Construction (Group) Co Ltd**

Count I: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way to prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to ensure that every working platform of a scaffold is arranged in such a way that the distance between the platform and the structure does not exceed 70 millimetres. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to cause convenient access to be provided to every scaffold platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

3\(^{rd}\) Case: **Durhome Construction Co Ltd**

Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to cause every plank which forms part of a scaffold to rest on at least 3 supports. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way to prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

4\(^{th}\) Case: **L & LP Construction Ltd**

Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to cause open side of building to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.
5th Case: Max Dorcy
Count I: Failing to cause open sides of building to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

6th Case: Baticorp Contracting Ltd
Count I: Failing to cause a lifting machine to be examined by a Registered Machinery Inspector. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,500/- and cost of Rs200/-.

7th Case: Total Building Construction Co Ltd
Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
Count II: Failing to cause open sides of building to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

8th Case: Editions de L’Ocean Indien
Count I: Failing to review a risk assessment not later than 2 years. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
Count II: Failing to carry out a fire drill once every year according to an established plan approved by the Fire Services. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

9th Case: Laval Riche Joseph
Count I: Failing to make a risk assessment in respect of its undertaking. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
Count II: Failing to cause open sides on roof of building from which persons are liable to fall to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
Count III: Failing to cause open sides of staircase from which persons are liable to fall to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

10th Case: Ideco Center Ltd
Count I: Failing to establish Safety and Health Committee. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.
Count II: Failing to carry out a fire drill at least once every year. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.
11th Case: Marbobois Ltee
Count I: Failing to cause the scaffold to be effectively and securely braced to ensure stability in all directions. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.  
Count II: Failing to cause every plank which forms part of a scaffold to rest on at least 3 supports. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.  
Count III: Failing to cause every platform of a scaffold to be provided with substantial guardrails. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

12th Case: Marbobois Ltee
Count I: Failing to ensure that every platform of a scaffold is boarded in such a way to prevent tools and materials from falling through. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.  
Count II: Failing to ensure that the scaffold is of good construction. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/-.  
Count III: Failing to cause convenient access to be provided to every scaffold platform. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.

13th Case: Arun Fabricators
Count I: Failing to ensure that the first aid box is in conformity with the requirements under regulation 4 (c) of the Occupational Safety, Health and Welfare (First-Aid) Regulations 1989. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs1,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.  

14th Case: Standard Builders Ltd
Count I: Failing to cause open sides of floor from which persons are liable to fall to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.  

15th Case: High Tech Construction Co Ltd
Count I: Failing to cause open sides of the lift shaft from which persons are liable to fall to be adequately fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.  

16th Case: Marcel Antonio Victor
Count I: Failing to provide appropriate fall protection system to prevent fall. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.
17th Case: Eastern Property & Investment Ltd

Count I: Failing to ensure that any person not in its employment is not exposed to risk to his safety and health. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs10,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

18th Case: Phoenix Beverages Ltd

Count I: Failing to ensure safety and health of employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs8,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

19th Case: Al-Huda Wan Noor Trust Fund

Count I: Failing to cause open sides of building to be fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

20th Case: Municipal Council of Vacoas Phoenix

Count I: Failing to notify accident. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to send report of accident. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for both counts.

21st Case: Hi Metal Services Ltd

Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

22nd Case: E.R.C.

Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

23rd Case: Seri Plus Ltd

Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

24th Case: T Printers Ltd

Count I: Failing to carry out a fire drill. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count II: Failing to notify Government Fire Services of increase in the number of employees. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/-.

Count III: Failing to provide a messroom. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/- for the three counts.
25th Case: Innowear Ltd

Count I: Failing to appoint first aider. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

26th Case: Laguna Clothing (Mtius) Ltd

Count I: Failing to hold a Lodging Accommodation Permit. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

27th Case: Barus Construction

Count I: Failing to cause open sides of building to be fenced. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs4,000/- and cost of Rs200/-.

28th Case: Maik Construction Ltd

Count I: Failing to ensure that platform is boarded to prevent tools from falling. Was sentenced to a fine of Rs2,000/- and cost of Rs200/-. 